

Supplementary File

Studies included within this mapping review. For each study, the terms use by the original study authors to describe the training applied have been provided. Alongside these, the way in which these were categorized within this review is given.

	Number of horses in study sample	Publication year	Terms used by study authors to describe the training approach applied	Training approach category identified by assessor
[1]	12	2021	Negative reinforcement Combined reinforcement	Negative reinforcement Combined reinforcement
[2]	36	2021	Clicker training Parelli NH Join up	Positive reinforcement Conspecific model Conspecific model
[3]	20	2021	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[4]	29	2021	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[5]	20	2021	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[6]	25	2021	Positive reinforcement Negative reinforcement	Positive reinforcement Negative reinforcement
[7]	3	2020	Habituation Negative reinforcement Positive punishment Positive reinforcement	Habituation Negative reinforcement Positive punishment Positive reinforcement
[8]	16	2020	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[9]	11	2020	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[10]	11	2020	Food reward	Positive reinforcement
[11]	32	2019	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[12]	96	2019	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[13]	3	2018	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[14]	14	2018	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[15]	5	2018	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[16]	68	2017	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[17]	26	2017	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[18]	102	2016	Positive reinforcement–based operant learning; "target training"	Positive reinforcement
[19]	23	2016	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[20]	3	2016	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[21]	24	2015	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[22]	16	2015	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[23]	3	2015	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[24]	107	2015	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[25]	120	2015	Natural training Positive reinforcement	Conspecific model Positive reinforcement
[26]	12	2014	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement
[27]	69	2014	Natural training/natural horsemanship	Conspecific model
[28]	22	2014	Round pen technique Sympathetic	Conspecific model Conspecific model

	Number of horses in study sample	Publication year	Terms used by study authors to describe the training approach applied	Training approach category identified by assessor
[29]	15	2014	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[30]	22	2013	Habituation Negative reinforcement	Habituation Negative reinforcement
[31]	32	2013	Natural training Traditional	Conspecific model Traditional
[32]	72	2013	Sympathetic	Conspecific model
[33]	19	2013	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[34]	25	2012	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement
[35]	4	2012	Negative reinforcement	Negative reinforcement
[36]	14	2012	Monty Roberts Conventional	Conspecific model Traditional
[37]	2	2012	Differential-reinforcement-of-other-behavior (DRO)	Positive reinforcement
[38]	32	2012	Traditional Sympathetic/natural	Traditional Conspecific model
[39]	12	2011	Round pen technique Negative reinforcement	Conspecific model Negative reinforcement
[40]	32	2011	Habituation	Habituation
[41]	12	2011	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement
[42]	4	2011	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[43]	20	2010	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[44]	21	2010	Positive reinforcement Negative reinforcement	Positive reinforcement Negative reinforcement
[45]	23	2010	Positive reinforcement No-reinforcement	Positive reinforcement -
[46]	12	2009	Traditional Natural horsemanship	Traditional Conspecific model
[47]	3	2009	Food reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[48]	28	2009	Sympathetic Conventional	Conspecific model Traditional
[49]	24	2008	Habituation	Habituation
[50]	34	2008	Negative reinforcement + Positive reinforcement Negative reinforcement	Combined reinforcement Negative reinforcement
[51]	16	2008	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement	Negative reinforcement Positive reinforcement
[52]	26	2007	Round pen technique	Conspecific model
[53]	16	2007	Sympathetic Conventional	Conspecific model Traditional
[54]	20	2007	Traditional Blended positive and negative reinforcement	Traditional Combined reinforcement
[55]	20	2004	Training	Traditional

	Number of horses in study sample	Publication year	Terms used by study authors to describe the training approach applied	Training approach category identified by assessor
[56]	40	2004	Imprinting	Imprinting
[57]	60	2004	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[58]	3	2003	Food reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[59]	44	2003	Food reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[60]	17	2003	Tellington-Touch Equine Awareness Method	T-touch
[61]	39	2003	Avoidance learning	Combined positive punishment and negative reinforcement
			Reward learning	Positive reinforcement
[62]	131	2003	Imprinting	Imprinting
[63]	12	2002	European training method	Traditional
[64]	48	2002	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[65]	16	2002	Training	Conspecific model
[66]	46	2002	Imprinting	Imprinting
[67]	5	2001	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[68]	4	2000	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[69]	3	2000	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[70]	2	1999	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[71]	3	1997	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[72]	3	1993	Positive reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[73]	14	1993	Food reinforcement	Positive reinforcement
[74]	14	1993	Avoidance conditioning	Combined positive punishment and negative reinforcement
[75]	3	1992	Reinforcement	Positive reinforcement

References

- [1] Hartmann E, Rehn T, Christensen JW, Nielsen PP, McGreevy P. From the horse's perspective: investigating attachment behaviour and the effect of training method on fear reactions and ease of handling-a pilot study. *Animals (Basel)* 2021;11:457. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11020457>.
- [2] Danişan S, Özbeyaz C. The effect of the combination of various training methodologies in horse training on the learning performances of Arabian horses. *Livestock Studies* 2021;61:66–76. <https://doi.org/10.46897/livestockstudies.610204>.
- [3] Eisersiö M, Yngvesson J, Byström A, Baragli P, Egenvall A. A rein tension signal can be reduced by half in a single training session. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2021;243:105452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105452>.
- [4] Holcomb FR, Multhaup KS, Erwin SR, Daniels SE. Spaced training enhances equine learning performance. *Animal Cognition* 2022;25:683–90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-021-01580-7>.
- [5] Loy J, Wills L, King S, Jenkins K, Ellis S, Randle H. A preliminary study of the effects of the number of consecutive days of training and days off on foal recall. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2021;46:62–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2021.05.012>.
- [6] Olczak K, Klocek C, Christensen JW. Hucul horses' learning abilities in different learning tests and the association with behaviour, food motivation and fearfulness. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2021;245:105498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105498>.
- [7] Medeiros B da R, Silva MM da, Zanette PRK, Claus MP, Cardoso J. Miniature horse training (Equus caballus) for use in equine assisted therapy, according to equine learning theory. *Acta Veterinaria Brasilica* 2020;14:21–9. <https://doi.org/10.21708/avb.2020.14.1.8932>.
- [8] Ijichi C, Wild H, Dai F, Bordin A, Cameron-Whytock H, White SJ, et al. Dually investigated: The effect of a pressure headcollar on the behaviour, discomfort and stress of trained horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2020;232:105101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2020.105101>.
- [9] Kieson E, Felix C, Webb S, Abramson CI. The effects of a choice test between food rewards and human interaction in a herd of domestic horses of varying breeds and experiences. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2020;231:105075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2020.105075>.
- [10] Lansade L, Colson V, Parias C, Trösch M, Reigner F, Calandreau L. Female horses spontaneously identify a photograph of their keeper, last seen six months previously. *Scientific Reports* 2020;10:6302–6302. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62940-w>.

- [11] Dai F, Dalla Costa A, Bonfanti L, Caucci C, Di Martino G, Lucarelli R, et al. Positive reinforcement-based training for self-loading of meat horses reduces loading time and stress-related behavior. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 2019;6:350–350. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2019.00350>.
- [12] Fenner K, Freire R, McLean A, McGreevy P. Behavioral, demographic, and management influences on equine responses to negative reinforcement. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2019;29:11–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2018.08.007>.
- [13] Gabor V, Gerken M. Study into long-term memory of a complex learning task in Shetland ponies (*Equus caballus*). *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2018;198:60–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2017.09.010>.
- [14] Lansade L, Calandreau L. A conditioned reinforcer did not help to maintain an operant conditioning in the absence of a primary reinforcer in horses. *Behavioural Processes* 2018;146:61–3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2017.11.012>.
- [15] Torcivia C, McDonnell SM. Case series report: Systematic rehabilitation of specific health care procedure aversions in 5 ponies. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2018;25:41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2018.02.003>.
- [16] Fenner K, Webb H, Starling MJ, Freire R, Buckley P, McGreevy PD. Effects of pre-conditioning on behavior and physiology of horses during a standardised learning task. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0174313–e0174313. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174313>.
- [17] Martinez de Andino EV, McDonnell SM. Evaluation of operant learning in young foals using target training. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2017;193:67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2017.02.021>.
- [18] Bonnell MK, McDonnell SM. Evidence for Sire, dam, and family influence on operant learning in horses. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 2016;36:69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2015.10.013>.
- [19] Mejdell CM, Buvik T, Jørgensen GHM, Bøe KE. Horses can learn to use symbols to communicate their preferences. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2016;184:66–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2016.07.014>.
- [20] Takahashi A, Nishiyama K, Ohkita M, Sawa K. Failure to find reinforcement effect of neck patting in horses *equus caballus*. *Psychologia* 2016;59:91–9. <https://doi.org/10.2117/psychoc.2016.91>.
- [21] Ahrendt LP, Labouriau R, Malmkvist J, Nicol CJ, Christensen JW. Development of a standard test to assess negative reinforcement learning in horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2015;169:38–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2015.05.005>.
- [22] Craig DPA, Varnon CA, Pollock KL, Abramson CI. An assessment of horse (*Equus ferus caballus*) responding on fixed interval schedules of reinforcement: An individual analysis. *Behavioural Processes* 2015;120:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2015.08.006>.
- [23] Fox AE, Belding DL. Reducing pawing in horses using positive reinforcement. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis* 2015;48:936–40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.241>.
- [24] Heleski C, Wickens C, Minero M, DallaCosta E, Wu C, Czeszak E, et al. Do soothing vocal cues enhance horses' ability to learn a frightening task? *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2015;10:41–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2014.08.009>.
- [25] Wilk I, Kędzierski W, Stachurska A, Janczarek I. Are results of crib opening test connected with efficacy of training horses in a round-pen? *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2015;166:89–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2015.02.003>.
- [26] Briefer Freymond S, Briefer EF, Zollinger A, Gindrat-von Allmen Y, Wyss C, Bachmann I. Behaviour of horses in a judgment bias test associated with positive or negative reinforcement. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2014;158:34–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2014.06.006>.
- [27] Janczarek I, Stachurska A, Wilk I. Which horses are most susceptible to the initial natural training? *Annals of Animal Science* 2014;14:637–48. <https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2014-0022>.
- [28] Kędzierski W, Wilk I, Janczarek I. Physiological response to the first saddling and first mounting of horses: Comparison of two sympathetic training methods. *Animal Science Papers and Reports* 2014;32:219–28.
- [29] Rochais C, Henry S, Sankey C, Nassur F, Góraczka-Bruzda A, Hausberger M. Visual attention, an indicator of human-animal relationships? A study of domestic horses (*Equus caballus*). *Frontiers in Psychology* 2014;5:108–108. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00108>.
- [30] Christensen JW. Object habituation in horses: The effect of voluntary versus negatively reinforced approach to frightening stimuli. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 2013;45:298–301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-3306.2012.00629.x>.
- [31] Janczarek I, Kędzierski W, Stachurska A, Wilk I. Emotional reactions of horses and trainers during natural method training / Reakcje emocjonalne koni i trenerów podczas treningu metodami naturalnymi. *Annals of Animal Science* 2013;13:263–73. <https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2013-0008>.
- [32] Janczarek I, Stachurska A, Kędzierski W, Wilk I. Responses of horses of various breeds to a sympathetic training method. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 2013;33:794–801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2012.12.014>.
- [33] Lansade L, Coutureau E, Marchand A, Baranger G, Valenchon M, Calandreau L. Dimensions of temperament modulate cue-controlled behavior: a study on Pavlovian to instrumental transfer in horses (*Equus caballus*). *PLoS One* 2013;8:e64853–e64853. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064853>.
- [34] Christensen JW, Ahrendt LP, Lintrup R, Gaillard C, Palme R, Malmkvist J. Does learning performance in horses relate to fearfulness, baseline stress hormone, and social rank? *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2012;140:44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2012.05.003>.
- [35] Egenvall A, Eisersjö M, Roepstorff L. Pilot study of behavior responses in young riding horses using 2 methods of making transitions from trot to walk. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2012;7:157–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2011.08.006>.
- [36] Fowler V, Kennedy M, Marlin D. A comparison of the Monty Roberts technique with a conventional UK technique for initial training of riding horses. *Anthrozoös* 2012;25:301–21. <https://doi.org/10.2752/175303712x13403555186217>.
- [37] Fox AE, Bailey SR, Hall EG, St. Peter CC. Reduction of biting and chewing of horses using differential reinforcement of other behavior. *Behavioural Processes* 2012;91:125–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2012.05.001>.

- [38] Kędzierski W, Janczarek I, Stachurska A. Emotional response of naive purebred Arabian Colts and fillies to sympathetic and traditional training methods. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 2012;32:752–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2012.03.002>.
- [39] Baragli P, Mariti C, Petri L, De Giorgio F, Sighieri C. Does attention make the difference? Horses' response to human stimulus after 2 different training strategies. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2011;6:31–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2010.08.020>.
- [40] Hartmann E, Christensen JW, Keeling LJ. Training young horses to social separation: effect of a companion horse on training efficiency. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 2011;43:580–4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-3306.2010.00326.x>.
- [41] Hendriksen P, Elmgreen K, Ladewig J. Trailer-loading of horses: Is there a difference between positive and negative reinforcement concerning effectiveness and stress-related signs? *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 2011;6:261–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2011.02.007>.
- [42] Slater C, Dymond S. Using differential reinforcement to improve equine welfare: Shaping appropriate truck loading and feet handling. *Behavioural Processes* 2011;86:329–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2011.02.001>.
- [43] Sankey C, Henry S, Górecka-Bruzda A, Richard-Yris M-A, Hausberger M. The way to a man's heart is through his stomach: what about horses? *PLoS One* 2010;5:e15446–e15446. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015446>.
- [44] Sankey C, Richard-Yris M-A, Leroy H, Henry S, Hausberger M. Positive interactions lead to lasting positive memories in horses, *Equus caballus*. *Animal Behaviour* 2010;79:869–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.12.037>.
- [45] Sankey C, Richard-Yris M-A, Henry S, Fureix C, Nassur F, Hausberger M. Reinforcement as a mediator of the perception of humans by horses (*Equus caballus*). *Animal Cognition* 2010;13:753–64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-010-0326-9>.
- [46] Fureix C, Pagès M, Bon R, Lassalle J-M, Kuntz P, Gonzalez G. A preliminary study of the effects of handling type on horses' emotional reactivity and the human–horse relationship. *Behavioural Processes* 2009;82:202–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2009.06.012>.
- [47] Hanggi EB, Ingersoll JF. Long-term memory for categories and concepts in horses (*Equus caballus*). *Animal Cognition* 2009;12:451–62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-008-0205-9>.
- [48] Visser EK, VanDierendonck M, Ellis AD, Rijkse C, Van Reenen CG. A comparison of sympathetic and conventional training methods on responses to initial horse training. *The Veterinary Journal* 2009;181:48–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2009.03.009>.
- [49] Christensen JW, Zharkikh T, Ladewig J. Do horses generalise between objects during habituation? *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2008;114:509–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2008.03.007>.
- [50] Heleski C, Bauson L, Bello N. Evaluating the addition of positive reinforcement for learning a frightening task: a pilot study with horses. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science* 2008;11:213–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888700802100942>.
- [51] Innes L, McBride S. Negative versus positive reinforcement: An evaluation of training strategies for rehabilitated horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2008;112:357–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2007.08.011>.
- [52] Krueger K. Behaviour of horses in the “round pen technique.” *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2007;104:162–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2006.04.021>.
- [53] Polito R, Minero M, Canali E, Verga M. A pilot study on yearlings' reactions to handling in relation to the training method. *Anthrozoös* 2007;20:295–303. <https://doi.org/10.2752/089279307x224827>.
- [54] Warren-Smith A, McGreevy P. The use of blended positive and negative reinforcement in shaping the halt response of horses (*Equus caballus*). *Animal Welfare* 2007;16:481–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s096272860002741x>.
- [55] Søndergaard E, Ladewig J. Group housing exerts a positive effect on the behaviour of young horses during training. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2004;87:105–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2003.12.010>.
- [56] Spier SJ, Berger Pusterla J, Villarroel A, Pusterla N. Outcome of tactile conditioning of neonates, or “imprint training” on selected handling measures in foals. *The Veterinary Journal* 2004;168:252–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2003.12.008>.
- [57] Williams JL, Friend TH, Nevill CH, Archer G. The efficacy of a secondary reinforcer (clicker) during acquisition and extinction of an operant task in horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2004;88:331–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2004.03.008>.
- [58] Hanggi EB. Discrimination learning based on relative size concepts in horses (*Equus caballus*). *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2003;83:201–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591\(03\)00136-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(03)00136-9).
- [59] McCall CA, Salters MA, Johnson KB, Silverman SJ, McElhenney WH, Lishak RS. Equine utilization of a previously learned visual stimulus to solve a novel task. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2003;82:163–72. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591\(03\)00078-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(03)00078-9).
- [60] Shanahan S. Trailer loading stress in horses: behavioral and physiological effects of Nonaversive training (TTEAM). *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science* 2003;6:263–74. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327604jaws0604_1.
- [61] Visser EK, van Reenen CG, Schilder MBH, Barneveld A, Blokhuis HJ. Learning performances in young horses using two different learning tests. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2003;80:311–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591\(02\)00235-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(02)00235-6).
- [62] Williams JL, Friend TH, Collins MN, Toscano MJ, Sisto-Burt A, Nevill CH. Effects of imprint training procedure at birth on the reactions of foals at age six months. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 2003;35:127–32. <https://doi.org/10.2746/042516403776114126>.
- [63] Kusunose R, Yamanobe A. The effect of training schedule on learned tasks in yearling horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2002;78:225–33. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591\(02\)00089-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(02)00089-8).
- [64] McCall CA, Burgin SE. Equine utilization of secondary reinforcement during response extinction and acquisition. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2002;78:253–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591\(02\)00109-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(02)00109-0).

- [65] Rivera E, Benjamin S, Nielsen B, Shelle J, Zanella AJ. Behavioral and physiological responses of horses to initial training: the comparison between pastured versus stalled horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2002;78:235–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591\(02\)00091-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591(02)00091-6).
- [66] Williams JL, Friend TH, Toscano MJ, Collins MN, Sisto-Burt A, Nevill CH. The effects of early training sessions on the reactions of foals at 1, 2, and 3 months of age. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 2002;77:105–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591\(02\)00047-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591(02)00047-3).
- [67] Ferguson DL, Rosales-Ruiz J. Loading the problem loader: the effects of target training and shaping on trailer-loading behavior of horses. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis* 2001;34:409–23. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2001.34-409>.
- [68] McKinley J, Sambrook TD. Use of human-given cues by domestic dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and horses (*Equus caballus*). *Animal Cognition* 2000;3:13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s100710050046>.
- [69] Miyashita Y, Nakajima S, Imada H. Differential outcome effect in the horse. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior* 2000;74:245–53. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jeab.2000.74-245>.
- [70] Hanggi EB. Categorization learning in horses (*Equus caballus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 1999;113:243–52. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0735-7036.113.3.243>.
- [71] Flannery B. Relational discrimination learning in horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 1997;54:267–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591\(97\)00006-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591(97)00006-3).
- [72] Dougherty DM, Lewis P. Generalization of a tactile stimulus in horses. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior* 1993;59:521–8. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jeab.1993.59-521>.
- [73] Mal ME, McCall CA, Newland C, Cummins KA. Evaluation of a one-trial learning apparatus to test learning ability in weanling horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 1993;35:305–11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591\(93\)90082-z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591(93)90082-z).
- [74] McCall CA, Salters MA, Simpson SM. Relationship between number of conditioning trials per training session and avoidance learning in horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 1993;36:291–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591\(93\)90127-b](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1591(93)90127-b).
- [75] Dougherty DM, Lewis P. Matching by horses on several concurrent variable-interval schedules. *Behavioural Processes* 1992;26:69–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-6357\(92\)90003-v](https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-6357(92)90003-v).